



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/820,486

04/07/2004

Zhi-Jian Yu

27529

7395

33357 7590 03/05/2009
ADVANCED MEDICAL OPTICS, INC.
1700 E. ST. ANDREW PLACE
SANTA ANA, CA 92705

EXAMINER

BROWN, COURTNEY A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1616

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/05/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|------|---------------------|--|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | |
| | 10/820,486 | | YU ET AL. | | |
| | Examiner | | Art Unit | | |
| COURTNEY BROWN | | 1616 | | | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) COURTNEY BROWN. (3) Bob Hahl.
 (2) Johann Richter. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 February 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: Araki et al. (US 2003/0203849 A1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the 103 rejection of record. Applicant will consider submitting a 132 Affidavit showing the citicality of of the POP-POE block copolymer in combination with CPC. Applicant will also consider providing evidence as to the efficacy of the gelling agents disclosed in USP 2003/0203849 (Araki et a..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|---------|---|
| 2/28/09 | /Johann R. Richter/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616 |
|---------|---|